

MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES IN TILE DRAINED LANDSCAPES TO MITIGATE SEDIMENT AND NUTRIENT LOSS

Eric Cooley^{1/}, Matt Ruark^{2/}, and John Panuska^{3/}

Subsurface drainage of agricultural land has the ability to improve yields and reduce surface runoff and erosion losses. However, with a reduction in surface runoff, more water infiltrates the soil and percolates through the soil profile. This is of particular importance to farmers, as this water can also transport essential plant nutrients, specifically nitrogen and phosphorus, out of the root zone. Once nutrients reach the tile drain, they have a direct conduit to surface waters.

Tile-drained agricultural land must be well-managed to reduce the loss of nutrients to surface waters. Nutrient management practices must be carefully followed to minimize the risk of nutrient loss and to maximize fertilizer use efficiency. Additional considerations need to be taken with manure applications on tile-drained land to both minimize nutrient loss and prevent manure entry into tile drains.

There are a variety of best management practices customizable to fit individual cropping systems and various tile-drained landscapes. We have identified twelve key elements that will lead to proper nutrient management on tile-drained land and thus minimize the potential to transmit manure to tile drains.

- **Understand and locate tile drainage system features:** A working knowledge of tile drainage systems and identification of tile outlets, surface inlets, vents, and other components of tile drainage systems can reduce the potential of inadvertent entry of manure, pesticides, fertilizer, and other soil amendments into the tile. Further information can be found in *Tile Drainage in Wisconsin: Understanding and Locating Tile Drainage Systems* (Ruark et al., 2009).
- **Maintain tile drainage systems:** Proper inspection and maintenance of tile drainage systems ensures that the tile system is functioning properly and reduces the potential of inadvertent entry of manure, pesticides, fertilizer, and other soil amendments into tile drainage systems. Annual inspections should be performed to identify tile blowouts and outlet blockages. Further information can be found in *Tile Drainage in Wisconsin: Maintaining Tile Drainage Systems* (Panuska et al., 2009).

^{1/} Co-Director, UW Discovery Farms, P.O. Box 935, 4319 Expo Drive, Manitowoc, WI 54221-0935.

^{2/} Assistant Professor, Dept. of Soil Science, Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison, 1525 Observatory Drive, Madison, WI 53706.

^{3/} Faculty Associate, Biological Systems Engineering, Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison, 460 Henry Mall, Madison, WI 53706.

- **Assess soil conditions prior to liquid manure applications:** Both high and low soil moisture contents can be problematic for liquid manure applications to tile-drained land. Flowing tiles are often a good indicator of high soil moisture conditions and well-developed soil surface cracks are an indicator of low soil moisture conditions in clay soils with high shrink-swell capacity. Manure applications should be avoided during high soil moisture conditions. If manure applications are made during dry soil conditions with surface cracks apparent in the soil, either utilize pre-tillage before application or reduce initial application rate to slowly add moisture to the soil to facilitate closing of the cracks.
- **Review forecasted weather prior to liquid manure applications:** Avoid applications when rainfall is predicted to occur after application. Soil moisture levels are increased by liquid manure applications, and subsequent rainfall can result in tile flow and release of manure to tile drains. Also avoid applications soon after rainfall events because soil moisture levels are typically elevated.
- **Monitor tile outlets when applying liquid manure:** Tiles should be monitored before, during, and after liquid manure applications for potential discharge of manure. Tiles flowing before applications are an indication of high soil moisture conditions, in most circumstances, and applications should be avoided. Monitor during applications because water from the liquid manure increases soil moisture content and can result in a flow event. Tile outlets should also be monitored up to a few weeks after application, especially after subsequent precipitation that may cause tile flow.
- **Restrict tile discharge prior to manure application if possible:** If water level control structures are installed in tile systems, insert stoplogs (devices inserted to control water level) to prevent flow from tile drains before application. Subsequent to application, remove stoplogs and check for flow. If flow is present after application, reinsert stoplogs to prevent discharge. Stoplogs should also be reinserted if a large rainfall is predicted to occur within a few weeks of application. Tile plugs can also be used in systems without water level control structures, but they have been shown to fail 50% of the time (Hoorman and Shipitalo, 2006).
- **Use tillage to break up preferential flow paths prior to or concurrent with application:** Pre-tillage for surface and injected liquid manure applications or application methods that concurrently disrupt preferential flow paths below the manure injection depth should be utilized to prevent manure entry to tile drains. Soils should be tilled at least three inches below the injection depth to adequately disrupt preferential flow paths.
- **Take precautions when surface applying liquid manure to land under no-till or perennial crops:** Preferential flow paths are more developed in no-till systems and in later years of perennial crops. Split applications or reduced rates should be considered for liquid manure applications. Additionally, manure can be transported along growing or decayed roots of deep tap root crops like alfalfa.

- **Ensure precautions are taken for manure and pesticide applications in fields with surface tile inlets:** Surface inlets are commonly used in fields with closed depressions, that is areas without an outlet for surface water. Extra precautions need to be taken in proximity of surface tile inlets because they are a direct conduit to tile drainage systems. Check state and local setback requirements for surface tile inlets before applying manure and pesticides.
- **Use best management practices for fertilizer and manure management:** This includes applying nutrients based on A2809 guidelines (Laboski and Peters, 2012), delaying or splitting nitrogen fertilizer applications, and waiting to apply manure or anhydrous ammonia in the fall until soil temperatures are less than 50°F. If applications are necessary when soil temperatures are above 50°F, use nitrification inhibitors. Researchers in Indiana have shown that alternating the timing of liquid manure application from fall to spring can reduce nitrate leaching by 30% and that spring application of manure results in nitrate leaching losses similar to spring fertilizer applications (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011).
- **Utilize conservation management practices such as cover crops, conservation tillage, and planting of grassed waterways:** This also includes any other management practice that increases nitrogen conservation in the soil and reduces erosion. These practices that reduce soil loss also reduce sediment-attached nutrient movement on the soil surface and will also help to reduce the potential of loss to tile drains.
- **Have an emergency plan in place:** If manure enters tile drains, take immediate steps to stop the flow and prevent discharge to fresh water systems. This can be performed by blocking or diverting the tile outlet, intersecting the tile system, and digging a pit directly downstream of the spill site to collect manure. Contact the Wisconsin DNR Spills Hotline at **1-800-943-0003** to report the spill and get assistance with subsequent remedial actions.

There are technologies available that can be used to retain water and nutrients in the soil profile. Drainage water management is the practice of controlling water table elevation to desired levels throughout the year. Water level control structures are used to maintain the water level higher in the soil profile after crops are removed to minimize nitrogen loss, predominantly in nitrate form, to surface water. The control elevation is then lowered in the spring to remove excess water from the soil profile and to allow the soil to dry out for field access and planting. Once crops are planted, the control elevation is often raised to hold the water level closer to the root zone (a practice known as subsurface irrigation), especially for crops that are prone to drought stress. Once crops are removed, the control elevation is raised farther to store more water and to prevent nutrient loss until spring. Additional information on drainage water management can be found in [*Drainage water management for the Midwest: Questions and answers about drainage water management for the Midwest.*](#)

Water table management in many of Wisconsin's tile-drained landscapes is limited by the slope of the land. Slopes of less than ½% are suitable for drainage control structures to be practical.

Slopes greater than ½% will only allow for drainage control on a small portion of the land surface and may result in high fluid head pressures in tile systems and tile blowouts. Many of Wisconsin's tile-drained landscapes have 2–6% slopes. New technologies allow for infield drainage control for lands with higher slopes (AgriDrain - Water Gates™). This type of system has two benefits: It is installed underground so as not to interfere with field operations (including deep tillage), and it can be “stair-stepped” to control drainage on higher sloped land up to 2%. The level in each of the structures is controlled by the downstream water control structure located either at a field boundary or tile outlet.

Constructed wetland treatment of tile drainage flow has been shown to be more effective for nitrogen (N) than phosphorus (P) removal, but there are many limitations with this practice (Miller et al., 2002). Constructed wetlands can take large amounts of land out of production for effective treatment sizing. Reported P removal and N concentration reductions vary due to a number of factors, including system design, retention time, and local climatic and physical conditions. Temperature effects on microbial activity may have large influence on N removal capacity, especially in the cold temperature extremes of the northern regions, such as Wisconsin (Jin et al., 2002). The P removal potential of constructed wetlands is limited and highly dependent on the nature of materials used for construction. In fact, during constructed wetland establishment, increases of ammonium N, dissolved reactive P, and total P have been seen in wetland effluent (Tanner et al., 2005).

For tile systems that outlet to drainage ditches, a two-stage drainage ditch can reduce the scouring of ditch banks and increase the removal of sediment, nitrogen and, phosphorus from tile drainage water. The two-stage design spreads flow over a larger area that decreases water velocity, allowing for sediment to settle out, and increases residence time for biological N removal. During low ditch flow periods, if the drainage ditch is constructed properly, tile water will spill onto vegetated benches, allowing for increased removal of sediment and nutrients.

Emerging technologies in drainage water management will likely provide increased options for reducing sediment and nutrient transport from tile drainage systems. Some of these technologies include blind and alternative surface inlets, bioreactors, and saturated buffers.

Contact your local National Resource Conservation Service or Land Conservation Department to obtain additional information on management practices to reduce nutrient loss from tile drainage systems and local regulations on manure application requirements and setbacks.

While there are current and emerging technologies to remove nutrients from tile drainage systems, many are limited in effectiveness, are unsuitable for the landscape, or are cost-prohibitive. Overall, the best method to minimize tile drainage release of nutrients to fresh water systems is to utilize management practices that prevent nutrients from reaching tile.

A series of three fact sheets on tile drainage are available for download at Discover Farms and The Learning Store websites.

Tile drainage in Wisconsin:

1. Understanding and locating tile drainage systems
2. Maintaining tile drainage systems
3. Managing Tile-Drained Landscapes to Prevent Nutrient Loss

References

- Cooley, E.T., Ruark, M.D., & Panuska, J.C. (2013). Tile drainage in Wisconsin: Managing Tile-Drained Landscapes to Prevent Nutrient Loss: GWQ064. <http://learningstore.uwex.edu/Assets/pdfs/GWQ064.pdf>.
- Frankenberger, J., Kladvko, E., Sands, G., Jaynes, D., Fausey, N., Helmers, M., Cooke, R., Strock, J., Nelson, K., & Brown, L. (2006). Drainage water management for the Midwest: Questions and answers about drainage water management for the Midwest. Purdue Extension publication: WQ-44. <http://www.ces.purdue.edu/extmedia/WQ/WQ-44.pdf>.
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Brouder, S.M., Ruark, M.D., & Turco, R.F. (2011). Nitrate, phosphate, and ammonium loads at subsurface drains: Agroecosystems and nitrogen management. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 40, 1229-1240.
- Hoorman, J.J. & Shipitalo, M.J. (2006). Subsurface drainage and liquid manure. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 61(3), 94A-97A.
- Jin, G., Kelley, T., Freeman, M., & Callahan, M. (2002). Removal of N, P, BOD5 and coliform in pilot-scale constructed wetland systems. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 4, 127-141.
- Laboski, C.A.M. and Peters, J.B.. (2012). Nutrient application guidelines for field, vegetable, and fruit crops in Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin-Extension publication A2809.
- Miller, P.S., Mitchell, J.K., Cooke, R.A., Engel, B.A. (2002). A wetland to improve agricultural subsurface drainage water quality. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, 45, 1305-1317.
- Panuska, J.C., Ruark, M.D., & Cooley, E.T. (2009). Tile drainage in Wisconsin: Maintaining tile drainage systems. University of Wisconsin-Extension publication: GWQ056. <http://learningstore.uwex.edu/Assets/pdfs/GWQ056.pdf>.
- Ruark, M.D., Panuska, J.C., Cooley, E.T., & Pagel, J. (2009). Tile drainage in Wisconsin: Understanding and locating tile drainage systems. University of Wisconsin Extension publication: GWQ054. <http://learningstore.uwex.edu/Assets/pdfs/GWQ054.pdf>.
- Tanner, C.C., Nguyen, M.L., & Sukias, J.P.S. (2005). Nutrient removal by a constructed wetland treating subsurface drainage from grazed dairy pasture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 105, 145-162.